

OSS and transaction processing

Dr Mark Little Engineering Director, Red Hat



OSS TPM vendor

- Implementing a TPM is not trivial
- There are cooler things to do
 - High speed messaging, clustering ...
- Failures? What failures?
 - If you assume failures never happen, it becomes easier!
 - Ignore durability and recoverability
 - Participants always do as they are told
- Most applications only have a single participant anyway



OSS RM vendor

- Most applications only have a single database or RM
- Failures never happen
 - "Not even close to the 80/20 case"

Performance is important for customers

- Reduce disk access
- There are cooler things to do!
 - E.g., clustering



- Transactions? What are those?
- Failures rarely happen
 - Always a hard sell for transactions
- "Oooo! This stuff is cheaper than IBM :-)"
 - "Hey, what's the worst that can happen?"





Sometimes ignorance is bliss!





- Many customers regularly run with multiple one-phase only participants in the same transaction
 - And still expect atomicity and recoverability!

Lack of education on the problem

- Often shocked when risks are explained
- Sometimes want atomicity and recoverability with no impact on performance
- Often want to keep using same multiple onephase participants
 - "The customer is always right".



OSS maturing

- TPMs with support for recovery
 - JBossTS (ex HP-TS) now in OSS
- RMs support for 2PC
 - MySQL
 - Derby (aka CloudScape)
 - Various JMS implementations



Compensating transactions?

- Sometimes customers can't migrate quickly to more mature environments

 Problem will exist for many years to come
- Forward compensation transactions may offer a medium-term solution